

REMARKS

I. Introduction

The Office Action required restriction under 35 U.S.C. 121. In a February 2, 2005 telephone interview with the Examiner, the Examiner indicated that Group I should consist on claims 1-3, 17-19, and 21-32 drawn to a deck of playing cards and Group II should consist of claims 5-12 drawn to dice apparatus.

The present response cancels claims 6-12 and adds new claims 33-39.

Reconsideration and allowance of the amended application is respectfully requested.

II. Originally Filed Application Supports New Claims

Since the new claims are supported by the originally filed application, their entry is respectfully requested. In particular, support for the new claims is present in the original application at, inter alia, the following locations:

<u>Claim</u>	<u>Basis in Original Application</u>
33, 36, 39	Original claim 19; page 7, lines 10-29.
34, 37	Original claim 2; page 6, lines 18-19.
35, 38	Original claim 3; page 6, lines 18-19.

Accordingly, because the originally filed application supports the new claims, their entry is respectfully requested.


III. Election

Applicant elects to prosecute Group I.

IV. New Claims 33-39

New claims 33-39 depend from various claims within elected Group I and, therefore, are related to and can be examined with the claims of Group I.

Respectfully submitted,



Shlomo R. Frieman
139 South Mansfield Avenue
Los Angeles, California 90036-3018
Telephone: 323 857 1390
Fax: 323 857 1131

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO on the date shown below.

March 20/05
Date


Shlomo R. Frieman